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Abstract

The purpose of this study is to examine 90 Egyptian bumper stickers collected from Egypt; particularly, from the governorate of Sohag, within the framework of deictic and text linguistic theory. The study is conducted by using observation technique. The objectives of the study are to signal the essential and dynamic role of deictic expressions used in the slogans and to investigate that the seven standards of textuality are applicable to short texts like slogans. The results indicate that the decoding of the deictic system helps in best understanding the slogans. Through the use of different aspects of textuality, the slogans are found to fulfill the standards of efficiency, effectiveness and appropriateness.
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1. Introduction

So many studies on the seven constitutive standards of textuality as set by de Beaugrande and Dressler (1981) was conducted since the study of texts has been of interest to researchers. Much attention has been given to these constitutive standards, especially to the cohesion and coherence elements, in several branches of linguistic areas such as writing skills, translation, discourse analysis, among others. Halliday and Hasan (1976) have devoted a whole book for the use of cohesive devices in English. Various studies have investigated textuality or any of it standards (e.g. Baker, 1992; Brown & Yule, 1983; Coulthard, 1994; Ferstl, 2001; Halliday &

(*) This paper is part of an M.A. thesis entitled: A Deictic and Text Linguistic Study of Bumper Stickers in Sohag, Supervised by Prof. Bahaa el Deen M. Mazeed – Faculty of Al- Alsun, Sohag University & Dr. Hanan A. Ebaid – Faculty of Arts, Sohag University.
Matthiessen, 2004; Hasan, 1984; Hatim & Mason, 1997; Hoey, 1990; Neubert & Shreve, 1992; Salkie, 1995). Textuality and its standards have long been concerned with texts with more than one sentence. In this study, however, an investigation of textuality is conducted to the slogans found on the bumpers of the various vehicles in an Egyptian community. Thus, while not enough attention, if there is any, was given to investigate bumper stickers in terms of textuality, this study does. Due to the predominant proliferation of bumper stickers in the last few decades, especially after the pervasive spread of toktoks, a linguistic study of these stickers has been shown to be of great importance. Stickers on vehicles became so familiar to the Egyptian community that they could not be neglected as a social phenomenon that deserves to be studied. Previous work that examined this phenomenon has mainly concentrated on the content of the stickers through a psychological and socio-cultural dimensions (e.g. Bloch, 2000; Chiluwa, 2008; Gadsby, 1995; Jaradat, 2016; Newhagen & Ancell, 1995). Nevertheless, no study, so far, concentrated on the textual communicative standards of the stickers. Since it has been shown that stickers involve orientation, an application of the deixis theory helps to explicate the dynamic discourse involved. It appears from the data that there is an extensive use of diectic expressions which necessitates a deictic linguistic study of the slogans. Consequently, based on previous work on analyzing bumper stickers, the current study aims at investigating the slogans collected from an Upper-Egyptian community deictically and textually. It further aims to show that the seven standards of textuality are instrumental to produce and receive texts even to one-sentence-long texts. It also aims to identify the different categories of deixis and indicate its inevitable use in the slogans. Finally, the study aims to point to the socio-cultural conventions involved in the slogans that depict the society that they appear in.
2. Methodology

A total of 90 slogans on stickers were collected and thoroughly examined using the naturalistic observation technique to record naturally-occurring data written on the back of different vehicles. The collection process was done by the researcher herself, with the help of some few members of her family, over a period of three years, from 2016 to 2018. The data were randomly collected during rush hours. Fresh search was made from time to time to check for new slogans. The stickers were collected from Sohag, the city, and not from one of its towns (or markazes). The slogans contained in this study were those written on vehicles passing the streets of the East and West district of Sohag. These vehicles include the public means of transport people usually use in Sohag like taxis, minibuses, toktoks, tricycles and trucks. The study ignores the other kinds of vehicles including private and high brand cars. The slogans were classified and distributed according to whom the slogans address into four categories: a) where the driver talks to or about himself; b) where the driver addresses others for different purposes like saying wisdom, for fun, to express a point of view, to send an intended or unintended message or else to keep envy away; c) the driver addresses other drivers or addresses his own vehicle; and d) where the driver addresses no one, he rather writes a wise saying out of life experience. They were written in colloquial Egyptian Arabic. Few slogans written in Modern Standard Arabic and others written in English were neglected. The slogans were written in Arabic and were translated into English. A quantitative-qualitative study was conducted since there are points that can be analyzed quantitatively to show the number of occurrences of every item, these were followed by a qualitative analysis in order to interpret and support the statistical analysis. Other points cannot be carried out quantitatively, but rather qualitatively, such as the parts that
handle the coherence, intentionality, acceptability, informativity and situationality of a text.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 Bumper Stickers

According to Merriam Webster dictionary, a bumper sticker is a “strip of adhesive paper or plastic bearing a printed message and designed to be stuck on a vehicle’s bumper”. Stickers have long been used to convey messages, i.e. slogans, which are short catchy clever phrases. These slogans reflect a wide range of topics including social, political, economic, philosophical, educational, religious, humorous or moral issues. The vehicle owner does not restrictedly stick it on the vehicle’s bumper; he may stick it on the back of his car or elsewhere. Bumper stickers have become a very common phenomenon of expressing opinions, attitudes, and beliefs (Jaradat, 2016). Through this medium of expression, thousands of ideas, values, groups, witticisms and expressions of selfhood compete for public attention (Case, 1992).

3.2 Deixis

Levinson (1983, p. 54) states that the single most obvious way in which the relationship between language and context is reflected in the structures of languages themselves, is through the phenomenon of deixis. Whereas people are conversing in the same physical space, and writers are making frequent use of referential expressions such as this and that; they must ensure that references are explicit and unambiguous in context. “One common method of providing disambiguating cues is deixis” (Barnard, May and Salber, 1996, p.38). The term is borrowed from the ancient Greek verb that means pointing or indicating. The deictic expressions typically take the speaker’s position as their point of anchorage, and the addressee needs to be aware of this position in order fully to interpret what the speaker says. Buhler (1934) was the first to name the orientational axes of the deictic field the origo of here/now/I. This origo, also known as ground zero, is consisting of the
speaker at the time and place of speaking. It is a set of theoretical points that a deictic expression is anchored to. These points, as pointed out by Levinson (1983, p. 64), are typically assumed to be as follows: a) the central person is the speaker; b) the central time is the time at which the speaker produces the utterance; c) the central place is the speaker’s location at utterance time or CT; d) the discourse centre is the point which the speaker is currently at in the production of his utterance; and e) the social centre is the speaker’s social status and rank, to which the status or rank of addressees or referents is relative. Hence come the five categories of deixis to be person deixis, place deixis, temporal deixis, discourse deixis and social deixis.

3.2.1 Person Deixis

“Person deixis concerns the encoding of the role of participants in the speech event in which the utterance in question is delivered” (Levinson, 1983, p. 62). Basically, “person deixis clearly operates on a basic three-part division” (Yule, 1996, p. 10), exemplified as follows:

a) The first-person pronouns I and we refer normally to the actual speaker(s), i.e. to whoever is speaking or writing at the moment. It is one of the most prototypical cases of deictic expressions.

b) The second-person you is used for an addressee or more than one, but no speaker is involved.

c) The third-person(s) he, she, it, or they which are the encoding of reference to persons and entities which are neither speakers nor addressees of the utterance.

Pronominal systems, which are the most obvious manifestations of person, generally exhibit this three-way distinction (Levinson, 1983, p. 69). But some pronominal systems exhibit much more pronouns. Arabic has the same division resembling that of English except for having a richer pronominal system.
There are two kinds of personal pronouns in Arabic: separate pronouns that are independent and standing by themselves; and attached or connected pronouns that are attached to nouns, particles, and verbs. Arabic has a pronominal system that refers to two persons, that is the dual form. Interestingly, the dual is gender indiscriminate, but it has its use only in the standard variety. The personal pronouns in Arabic inflects for number (singular, dual, and plural) and gender (masculine and feminine). Worth to be mentioned, the separate pronouns can be hidden in the verbs where they are implicitly understood from the context; for example, /أحمد شرب اللبن/ ‘Ahmed drunk milk’, where the subject /هو/ ‘he’ is implicit in the verb /شرب/ ‘drank’. These pronouns, known also as subject pronouns, can be tabulated as follows:

**Table 1**

**Separate Pronouns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Standard Arabic</th>
<th>Egyptian Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singular</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>أنا</td>
<td>أنت</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You (masc.)</td>
<td>أنت</td>
<td>أنت</td>
<td>إنت</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You (fem.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>أنت</td>
<td>إنت</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td></td>
<td>هو</td>
<td>هو</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>She</td>
<td></td>
<td>هي</td>
<td>هي</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>أنتينا</td>
<td>إنتو (الإثنين)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You</td>
<td></td>
<td>أنتما</td>
<td>هما (الإثنين)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We</td>
<td>نحن</td>
<td>إحنا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plural</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You (masc.)</td>
<td>أنتو</td>
<td>إنتو</td>
<td>إنتو or إنتهم</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You (fem.)</td>
<td>أنتن</td>
<td>إنتن</td>
<td>إنتن</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They (masc.)</td>
<td>هم</td>
<td>هم</td>
<td>هما</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They (fem.)</td>
<td>هن</td>
<td>هن</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arabic and English do not make gender distinction of the first person pronoun in its singular or plural version. Arabic has a pronominal system that morphologizes the distinction of singular, dual, and plural forms of the second person pronoun you; it has, as well, masculine and feminine versions of the same person, the thing which English lacks. English has only one second person i.e. you regardless of the gender or the number of the addressee(s). The dual form has no use in colloquial Arabic variety; alternatively, Egyptians use the plural pronouns with two or more people, of any gender, for example: /إدْا جيْا/ ‘we have come’, /إّر٘ جير٘/ ‘you have come’, /ٕ َ ا ج ٌ/ ‘they have come’, where /إدْا, إّر٘,ٕ َ ا/ ‘we, you, they’ can denote two persons or more.

In Arabic, subject pronouns are frequently dropped but substituted for by attached pronouns. Attached pronouns are usually suffixes and comprise three types as follows:

1. Those pronouns that are attached to a verb to function as a subject. They are illustrated in the following table (using the verb /كتَب/ ‘wrote’ as an example):
**Table 2**

**Attached Subject Pronouns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Standard Arabic</th>
<th>Egyptian Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singular</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You(masc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You(fem.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>She</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You(masc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You(fem.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They(masc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They(fem.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plural</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبْنا / كَتَبْنا / كَتَ / كَتَبْنا</td>
<td>كُتِبْنا / كَتَبْنا / كَتَ / كَتَبْنا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You(masc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You(fem.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
<td>كُتِبَ / كَتَبَ / كَتَ / كَتَبَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They(masc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبْوا / كَتَبْوا / كَتَ / كَتَبْوا</td>
<td>كُتِبْوا / كَتَبْوا / كَتَ / كَتَبْوا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They(fem.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>كُتِبْوا / كَتَبْوا / كَتَ / كَتَبْوا</td>
<td>كُتِبْوا / كَتَبْوا / كَتَ / كَتَبْوا</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice that in using Egyptian Arabic, both -تُمّا and -تُمّ suffixes in the dual or plural form of the second person pronoun are used but -تُمّا is much more common.

2. This kind of attached pronouns is attached to transitive verbs to express the accusative case. They are illustrated by using the verb /رُسَمُ/ ‘drew’ as an example.
### Table 3
**Attached Object Pronouns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Standard Arabic</th>
<th>Egyptian Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singular</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me</td>
<td>me</td>
<td>/رسمنى/ني-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you(masc.)</td>
<td>/رسملك/ك-</td>
<td></td>
<td>/رسملك/ك-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you(fem.)</td>
<td>/رسملتك/كی-</td>
<td>/رسملتك/كی-</td>
<td>/رسملتك/كی-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Him</td>
<td>/رسمه/هو-</td>
<td>/رسمه/هو-</td>
<td>/رسمه/هو-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>her</td>
<td>/رسمها/هآ-</td>
<td>/رسمها/هآ-</td>
<td>/رسمها/هآ-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Us</td>
<td>/رسمنا/نا-</td>
<td>/رسمنا/نا-</td>
<td>/رسمنا/نا-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You</td>
<td>/رسملكما/كما-</td>
<td>/رسملكما/كما-</td>
<td>/رسملكما/كما-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Them</td>
<td>/رسمهما/هما-</td>
<td>/رسمهما/هما-</td>
<td>/رسمهما/هما-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plural</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you(masc.)</td>
<td>/رسملك/كم-</td>
<td>/رسملك/كم-</td>
<td>/رسملك/كم-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you(fem.)</td>
<td>/رسملكن/كْن-</td>
<td>/رسملكن/كْن-</td>
<td>/رسملكن/كْن-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>them(masc.)</td>
<td>/رسمهم/هم-</td>
<td>/رسمهم/هم-</td>
<td>/رسمهم/هم-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>them(fem.)</td>
<td>/رسمهن/هن-</td>
<td>/رسمهن/هن-</td>
<td>/رسمهن/هن-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The suffix -كْم- is more colloquial than -ك-.

3. The third type is suffixed to nouns to express the possessive meaning. The object and possessive pronoun suffixes are exactly the same except for the first person singular ‘my’ becomes -ى in Arabic, e.g. *my book* becomes كتابى.

This account of personal pronouns in Arabic has demonstrated that Arabic does not have a simple system of pronouns. This system crucially depends on gender and number. Such a rich system makes it easy for the interlocuters to identify referents around them.
3.2.2 Spatial Deixis

Space or place deixis identifies an entity by relating it to its place which is typically established with reference to the deictic centre. Two of the central kinds of spatial deictic expressions are the demonstrative pronouns \textit{this} and \textit{that}, along with their plural forms, and the locative adverbs \textit{here} and \textit{there}.

At its most basic, English has such a simple here/there system. Other languages have even more complicated systems. Considering Arabic, it is a richly inflected language; but Standard Arabic, or العربية الفصحى, is beyond this research. This study deals with Egyptian colloquial Arabic that has the same simple here/there system, which has as its Arabic alternative هنا/هناك.

In everyday conversations, Egyptians restrictedly use دٙ, دٓ, and دٗه encoding the masculine, feminine, and plural forms of demonstratives respectively. For example, دٙ جذيذج ‘This is a new car’, دٓ مراب قي ٌ ‘This is a valuable book’, د٘لاد تيذامزٗا ‘These boys are studying’.

3.2.3 Temporal deixis

In Buhler’s origo (1934), the temporal ‘ground zero’ is the moment at which the utterance is issued (‘coding time’ of Fillmore 1977) (Levinson, 2004). In English, as well as in Arabic, temporal deictic reference is both grammaticalized and lexicalized.

Grammaticalization is generally represented in the system of languages through the concept of tense. Traditionally, tenses are categorized as absolute versus relative. Simple English past tense, for instance, is absolute e.g., \textit{he went}; while the pluperfect sentence \textit{he has gone} is relative to some other deictically specified time. Similarly, temporal forms of the Arabic verb are two. Sibawayih (1316) states that “the verb expresses an action which is either complete (perfective) or incomplete (imperfective)”. The perfective form denotes a finished action, an action that took place and was completed in the past. The imperfective form expresses unfinished action, an
action that is in progress. Taking this into consideration, the verb in Arabic has three forms: past (the perfect form), present and imperative (the imperfect form).

Abd-Elwahid (2016) indicates that the Arabic imperative form is not a tense, but it is rather a mode in the same way negation, condition, optative, and positiveness are. The past tense verb, known as الماضي in Arabic, refers to past time at which an action took place, hence comes its perfectiveness as involving actions that have been completed prior to the time of speech. The present tense, on the other hand, is called in Arabic الفعل المضارع. The present tense verb, اىفعو اىَضارع, describes actions or events that are ongoing. The imperfect Egyptian Arabic present is also used to express present continuous through using the prefix ب–، يكتب ب-كتب ‘is writing’. It is also common to use the active participle instead of some of present tense verbs to indicate the ongoing action, e.g. نام، نايم / ‘is sleeping’. It is considerable to note that “The future tense in Arabic does not have a morphological representation in the form of the verb” (Al-Saif, 2008). To indicate the future in Egyptian Arabic, the prefix /ha-/ must be added at the beginning of the present verb, e.g. يكتب، ميكتب / ‘will write’.

There is no argument that tense in Arabic is quite complicated because verbs do not totally denote the tense. As a result, it can be said that the context is crucial in Arabic to figure out the time reference.

The other way to express time is lexicalization. Arabic has lexical words to indicate the time at which the utterance is occurred. These words locate the utterance as prior to, simultaneous with, or posterior to the communicative time. Lexicalization includes time adverbials. It comprises two divisions: simple lexical expressions that are composed of a single temporal lexeme, e.g. امبراح / ‘yesterday’; and complex temporal adverbials, e.g. أول امبراح/ ‘the day before yesterday’. With such adverbials, we can count backwards and forwards.
from the coding time on which these expressions depend for their interpretation. Grammatical temporal deictics usually go with lexical deictics.

### 3.2.4 Discourse Deixis
Out of the fact that throughout discourse, spoken or written, there is frequent occasion to refer to backward and forward segments of the discourse, discourse deixis has its function. Both temporal and spatial deictic terms are usually employed to denote this deictic type. The use of *before, next, or later* exemplifies temporal deictic terms; while *in this article, here, or two paragraphs below* are examples of spatial deictic ones. This research does not have a great interest in this type of deixis since the nature of slogans does not permit using such type. Anyway, it is analyzed if the researcher finds any.

### 3.2.5 Social Deixis
Fillmore (1975, p. 295) points out that social deixis “refers to that aspect of sentences which reflect or establish or are determined by certain realities of the social situation in which the speech act occurs”. The world-wide phenomenon of the T/V distinction shows social contrasts within person deixis. It is the best known example of social deixis which is almost universal in European languages. The choice of one form rather than the other indicates something about formality, superiority, familiarity, power or solidarity between the participants. The other way in which addressees are typically referred to is by using titles of address.

### 3.3 Text Linguistics
Defining text as a communicative occurrence which meets seven standards of textuality, de Beaugrande and Dressler (1981) present a broad view of text linguistics and set what constitutes the texture of a text. They define these standards as follows:
Cohesion: grammatical dependencies on the surface. There are five main cohesive devices: reference, substitution, ellipsis, conjunction and lexical cohesion.

Coherence: conceptual dependencies in the textual world.

Intentionality and acceptability: the attitudes of participants toward the text.

Informativity: the incorporation of the new and unexpected into the known and expected.

Situationality: the setting which refers to the context of a certain text.

Intertextuality: the mutual relevance of separate texts.

de Beaugrande and Dressler (1981) further add that if any of these standards is not considered to have been satisfied, the text will not be communicative i.e., a non-text. To these seven constitutive principles of communication they add three regulative principles, adopting Searle (1969), in order for text to be fully communicative. They are efficiency, effectiveness and appropriateness.

4. Results

Throughout the 4 groups of the data, the researcher does not find a slogan without having some deictic aspect. The 90 stickers observed have various types of expressions denoting exophoric referential power whether this power refers deictically or non-deictically to the situation.

Both types of pronouns, separate and attached, are used throughout the slogans with an excessive use of the attached ones as compared to the separate. The researcher finds that the frequency of their use differentiated among the four groups of the data. Table 4 shows the distribution of the whole pronouns found in the data regardless of their deictic, non-deictic, anaphoric or cataphoric use. No consideration is paid to hidden pronouns.
Table 4
The Frequency of Personal Pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Separate</th>
<th>Attached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following instances exemplified the use of pronouns in the 4 groups:

1. دعينا رينا إداننا وعيون الناس مش سيبانا ‘We asked Allah and He granted us; but people keep envying us.

2. بحسدونى عليك وأنت سر عذابى ‘They envy me for having you, unknowing that you are the source of my suffering.

3. الحلوة ملحفانى مركبهاش لحد تانى ‘The sweetie ordered me not to let another one drive her.

4. مهنة عال وخربتها العيال ‘A good profession but the careless young spoil it’.

4.1 Deixis

4.1.1 Person Deixis

The following table shows the use of exophoric personal deixis observed in the data.

Table 5
Person Deixis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal pronouns</th>
<th>Separate</th>
<th>Attached</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exophoric Reference</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deictic Use</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-deictic Use</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of the exophoric deictic and non-deictic use of pronouns are:

- ياخوافي من اثنين الفرامل والستات ‘I am frightened of two things: brake and females’

-To live peacefully, be in peace with yourself’

### 4.1.2 Place Deixis

The researcher finds a relatively few occurrences of place deixis used in the data. There are three occurrences of three different verbs denoting motion away from the deictic centre who is the driver; these verbs were /هديدك/ ‘distance me’, /سبقني/ ‘pass me’, /در/ ‘turn’. There are two occurrences of the demonstrative /إي/ ‘this’, one in Group 2 and the other in Group 4. Two adverbs of place are observed too. They are /وراية/ ‘behind me’, /للخلف/ ‘back’. These instances of place deixis that are detected in the data make a total of seven occurrences of the whole deictic expressions found in the stickers.

### 4.1.3 Temporal Deixis

The temporal deictic expressions grammaticalized in the data are the use of perfective and imperfective tense forms, the use of the active participle and the use of the conditional if clauses /لو/ ‘if’. On the other hand, the data identifies some occurrences of lexical expressions denoting time like /الدنيا/ ‘life’, /الأخيرة/ ‘Hereafter’, /نهاك/ ‘your day’, /طلول عمرى/ ‘for all my life’ (See Table 6).

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporal Deictic Forms</th>
<th>Imperfective</th>
<th>Perfective</th>
<th>Active Participle</th>
<th>Conditional Clauses</th>
<th>Lexicalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1.4 Social Deixis

Different types of honorifics are identified in the data. The drivers use vocatives, titles of address and kinship terms in their stickers. The use of these honorifics varies from being deictic like /حلوة/ ‘the sweetie’, /ابن المعلم/ ‘son of the master’, /عبد/ ‘my wife’, /عملك/ ‘your master’, /معلمين/ ‘masters’, /المدام/ ‘His slave’ and non-deictic like /الأصول/ ‘the genuine’, /الكبر/ ‘the elder’, /ولد ناس/ ‘genuine’, /جاهل/ ‘ignorant’, /رضاي/ ‘master’, /نجوم/ ‘star’, /معلم/ ‘master’, /ريس/ ‘master’. The researcher observes three occurrences of the title معلم ‘master’ happened in three different slogans, e.g. /معلم/ ‘teacher’, /عمده/ ‘the genuine’, /ابن/ ‘son’, /معلمين/ ‘masters’, /المدام/ ‘your master’/. Other titles used in the slogans are /ابن/ ‘son’, /الأصول/ ‘title’, /ولد ناس/ ‘genuine’, /ريس/ ‘master’, /رضاي/ ‘master’. There are only two uses of kinship terms, /عمك/, /ياعم/ which are used metaphorically as a “fictive use” (Braun, 1988, p.9). A number of vocatives is identified in the data observed such as /يأجل/ ‘you’, /ياعم/ ‘respectively’. They totally eliminate using it formally as /دضزذل/ ‘you’, /ك/ respectively. Another noteworthy observation is the drivers’ use of the royal and editorial مرك/ ‘We are still young but we are masters’, /لى ميعماش مع ناس ميتعلسم عملنا/ ‘We helped them so honestly, but this effort was found to be for undeserved people’ among others.

4.1.5 Discourse Deixis

Out of the 90 slogans collected, there are only three examples of discourse deixis. The following three slogans exemplify this deictic use:
I am frightened of two things: ‘brakes and females.’
The only thing ‘that does not involve nepotism is friendship.
I will keep you behind me, you ‘are not my peer.

4.2 Textuality Aspects
4.2.1 Cohesion
4.2.1.1 Reference
Throughout the data observed, there is an indispensable use of different referential expressions which refer endophorically to the text itself rather than the context of situation. The pronouns that are considered cohesively referential rather than deictic are those of the second mention. The referential expressions that are detected in the slogans are different separate and attached pronouns, some of proper names and comparatives. Out of the eleven separate pronouns observed there are only two of them that are used endophorically, e.g.

الستار وأنا عبده هو – ‘He (Allah) is the shielder and I am His slave’.

‘I will keep you behind me, you are not my peer’.

‘He’ in the first example refers cataphorically to the name of Allah /الستار/ ‘the shielder’; while /انت/ ‘you’ refers anaphorically to the objective attached pronoun /لك/.

As to the attached pronouns, 42 referential pronouns are observed with a percentage of 36.2% of the total use of attached pronouns found in the slogans.

The researcher notices six occurrences of proper names used referentially throughout the slogans. They were /تاتا/ ‘Tata’, /عشرى/ ‘Ashry’, /ابراهيم/ ‘Ibrahim’, /الستار/ ‘the shielder’, and two occurrences of /ربنا/ ‘our God’.

Eleven comparative references are identified in the data. Three of them are general comparison that “express likeness between things” (Halliday & Hasan, 1976), and the remaining
eight comparatives are particular ones that express comparability between things. Instances of the general and particular comparatives are:

I stopped complimenting others, ‘بطلت احتمال وزى مبتعمل هعامل ‘. ‘I will treat people the same way they are treating me.’

‘May your day be like your conscience’. ‘نهرئاج تى ضميرك ‘. ‘Lou Unalgorithm اللهم لا حسد لو عالرجلة أرجو من أسد ‘. ‘As to money, may Allah keeps envy away; as to manhood, I am braver than a lion’. ‘

This is not our profession, but it ‘دئ مش مهنتنا بس أحسن من فقدتنا ‘. ‘is better than being unemployed’.

Table 7
Referential Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pronouns</th>
<th>Separate</th>
<th>Attached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper Names</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparatives</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particular</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.1.2 Ellipsis

The most frequent elliptical items observed are the hidden subjects of some verbs used in the slogans. There was no misunderstanding or incomplete information since the subjects are indicated via the verbs’ conjugation. Three sentences are observed lacking the particle /لم/ ‘when’; like in /عشت عصفور/ ‘When I lived like a bird, they injured me; when I lived like a lion, they respected me’ that is presupposed to have the particle /لم/ ‘when’ at its beginning.

Three other sentences are identified to have the conditional /لو/ ‘if’ as an elliptical item. An ellipted separate pronoun is identified in the sentence /حببي يأ ربنا/ ‘Oh, my dear Lord’ to be presupposed as /إنت حبيبي يأ ربنا/ ‘You are my dear Lord’. The word /الناس/ ‘people’ is identified to be an ellipted object in the following instances:
‘I stopped complimenting others, and I will treat people the same way they are treating me’.

‘Be jealous (from people), but do not envy (them)’. The prepositional phrase ‘from people’ functions as the object of the verb ‘be jealous’ and the ellipted attached pronoun ‘them’ substitutes for it.

The clause ‘If cock laid eggs’ is presupposed to be ‘even if cock laid eggs’ with the particle ‘even’ representing the ellipted item.

4.2.1.3 Conjunctions
The conjunctions observed in the data can be tabulated as follows:

Table 8
Conjunctions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conjunctions</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘and’</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘if’</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘but’</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘when’</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘because’</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘because’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘as long as’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘because’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.2 Other Aspects of Textuality

There are other aspects of textuality that are observed in the data but are not handled quantitatively. These aspects are lexical cohesion, coherence, intentionality, acceptability, situationality, informativity and intertextuality. Each is discussed qualitatively in the next section.

5. Discussion
5.1 Deictic Expressions
5.1.1 Personal Pronouns

The use of personal pronouns is considered one of the strongest means in achieving cohesion and connection between text and its context. Pronouns have a symbolic nature that denotes individuals, ideas, situations, etc, which contributes to best creating and deconstructing texts. Talking about the first singular person pronoun, it indicates the writer, or rather the driver, who is the sender of the message. In Arabic the first singular pronoun is /أنا / ‘I’, which is the independent separate form; whereas /ي، -ي، -ي/ represent the dependent attached forms of the English I. This pure deictic pronoun is regarded too personal and individualized. It represents the default deictic center by which the driver excludes any other participant in the communication event except his ego. In using this highly egocentric pronoun, the driver wishes to pay the attention to himself along with his thoughts, beliefs and actions. Additionally, some slogans reveal the presence of implicit subjects which can be substituted with the first person pronoun, e.g. /أحبوش/ ‘I don’t like that’; /أصحاب مين ده عشرى باغ إبراهيم/ ‘Whom should I befriend? Ashry betrayed Ibrahim’. We might note from the examples that the thematic features and ideas of the slogans which the drivers used require a direct explicit way to convey their content without causing ambiguity or confusion. The best way to achieve that end is through the use of the first person pronoun, with its different forms, referring directly and deictically to the driver himself whom readers do
not know but wishing to introduce himself and his identity through the short message stuck on the back of his vehicle. Despite the fact that first person pronoun does not carry gender distinction for masculine and feminine, it does carry number distinction for singular and plural. The separate form of the Arabic first person plural pronoun observed in the data is /إحدى/ ‘we’ with only one occurrence in /إحنا صغيرين بس معلمين/ ‘We are still young but we are masters’. The attached form is confined to the suffix /نا/.

Some drivers choose to write their slogans using the first person plural pronoun by which they indicate either themselves only or themselves along with their colleagues in the same profession or their families. One cannot determine whether the driver includes his colleagues or his family who are absent from the communicative event, or he excludes everyone indicating only himself. The choice is left to the intelligence of the reader. Normally, when the first person plural is used by a single speaker to refer to himself (royal we), it communicates a higher rank along with establishing a social distance. But it is not the case here. The producer of the message here does not make himself superior to others; conversely, he may make himself symbolically plural to emphasize his utterances through employing the plural. Another likely reason is that they are just adopting a cultural tradition rooted in the Egyptian society, as Egyptians usually use some utterances in plural like /أدينا قعدنا/ ‘o, I sat down’, /أدينا شربنا/ ‘I drank’ and the like.

In examining the use of the second person pronoun through the data, it has been observed only one separate pronoun used in the feminine form, /انت/ ‘you’ is observed. The attached second person singular pronoun used is /ك/. Implicit subjects of the second person singular can be found throughout the data, e.g. /غير ولا تخسد/ ‘Be jealous, but do not envy’. It can be said that most of the drivers’ use of the second person is a generic non-referential one by which drivers do not refer to a specific
particular person; they rather address people in general. Nevertheless, this may not hold true for some examples like طول مالحد فدمك هفضل طول عمرى عمك / ‘As long as you are malicious, I will always be your master’, where one cannot know for sure whether such examples have a particular addressee or not. On the whole, the second person pronoun is the most direct way of address by which the reader, who is anyone happening to see the message, places himself as the one being addressed. Thus, second person pronoun is a powerful tool by which the reader usually feels included in the communicative event.

Some slogans use the third person deixis to indicate a shared knowledge between the producer and the receiver. In one way or another, employing the third absent form makes the message more effective and successful. It also helps in achieving rhyme, e. g. عجلها، أجلها، / نحبوه، ننسوه/.

5.1.2 Spatial Deixis

Drivers use the local demonstrative دى / ‘this’ to denote the proximity of their vehicle physically and psychologically. It is the only term to denote the proximal and distal use in Egyptian Arabic. Drivers use the singular feminine demonstrative دى / ‘this’ to focus the reader’s attention on the vehicle. Moreover, the verbs of motion that drivers use make the theme of the corresponding slogans vivid enough in the imagination of the reader. This technique also activates the perception of the readers toward the communicative situation and helps in evoking a sense of common space between the producer and the receiver; besides, it vividly evokes the motion involved in the corresponding expressions.

5.1.3 Temporal Deixis

By denoting variant tenses, including future, past and continuity, the present verb helps in achieving “an atmosphere of timelessness” (Hakkinen, 2016) and creating a feeling like
“it is happening now, and it will be happening in the future” (Hakkinen, 2016). Thus, the Arabic present can convey a permanent meaning. Moreover, two occurrences of present progressive are detected, they are: /يحبها/ ‘love her’ and /يحبذونى/ ‘they keep envying me’ with the prefix /ب-/ at the beginning of the verb to denote continuity. As to the imperative mode, it is found to be in common use in the messages under study. Imperative verbs can denote a sense of demanding, inviting, encouraging or pushing people to do something. As to the distal past tense, it signals distance from the current communicative action. This verb form is found to be used by drivers to indicate their experience in life. They incline to use declarative clauses with past tense verbs to tell the audience their experiences to get benefit from them or to inform about their status, warning the audience that they may end up with the same consequences. Using the active participle serves in communicating a constant sense of continuity for the corresponding action. It indicates that the action is ongoing and will never stop. As a result, it is proved that this technique is an effective tool in communicating the vivid motion in the message, the thing that helps in best grasping its theme. The conditional sentences observed mark either events which are far from reality and are not likely to happen or conditional cases representing an action in the first phrase and its desirable reaction in the second.

The two words /الدنيا/ ‘life’ and /الآخرة/ ‘Hereafter’ appear to record six occurrences as examples for lexicalization. The other two occurrences are the words: /نهارك/ ‘your day’ and /طول عمرى/ ‘for all my life’. Lexicalization helps the producers to express the time they mean without having to use verb forms.

5.1.4 Discourse Deixis

To direct the unfocused receiver’s attention to a specific part of a message, producers may make use of discourse deixis.
Owing to the short-text attribute of the slogans, only three discourse deictics could be registered from the data. The following slogans contain these deictics: 

/ياخفو من انتين/ والستات/ ‘I am frightened of two things: brakes and females’, 
/ الحاجة الوحيدة اللى من غير وسطى صحبة الناس ياسطى/ ‘The only thing that does not involve nepotism is friendship’, 
/ كده ورايا إنت/ مش مستواي/ ‘I will keep you behind me, you are not my peer’. In the above examples, the linguistic expressions /انتين/ الحاجة/ الوحيدة/ and /كده/ function as “space- builders” as they “build a mental space” (Yang, 2011, p.130) whereby the entities intended to be focused on are assumed to come after.

5.1.5 Social Deixis

A well-known attribute about the Egyptians is their social tradition to establish familiarity and a sense of friendliness through their use of titles. The results detect some honorifics used in the slogans, for example, /ريس/ ‘master’, /معلم/ ‘master’, /نجم/ ‘star’, /اسطى/ ‘master’, /عم/ ‘master’. These address terms are commonly used among the social class of drivers. Most of these terms are used metaphorically without intending the literal meaning of the address term. Consequently, most address terms adopted in the slogans are to convey familiarity and to create solidarity. Some address terms, on the other hand, are intended to be used to signal a degree of respect, deference or superiority, like /ريس/ ‘your master’, /معلم/ ‘master’, /ابن المعال/ ‘son of the master’, /أبن ناس/ ‘genuine’, /الأصول/ ‘the genuine’. Moreover, drivers address their receivers using the separate and attached second person pronoun avoiding the polite plural of address /حضرتك/ ‘you’ to reaffirm familiarity or else to convey a sense of superiority and pride, e.g. /الكبر/ ‘the elder’ and /معالمن/ ‘masters’.
5.2 Textuality Aspects
5.2.1 Cohesion
5.2.1.1 Reference
I have already analyzed the pronominal system and its referential use. Concerning proper names, they are regarded one of the main subclasses of the referential expressions; comparatives, as well, are considered one form of reference. In this regard Halliday and Hasan (1976) state “[a] thing cannot just be ‘like’, it must be ‘like something’”. Examples of comparatives can easily be detected through the data.

5.2.1.2 Substitution
Even though substitution is one of the cohesive devices that creates a strong link between the parts of a text, no cases of substitution could be found in the data. This may be owing to the nature of the slogans to have a relatively short message that cannot enable an opportunity to use this device.

5.2.1.3 Ellipsis
Ellipsis, as a device, helps achieving the cohesion of texts and contributes to attain efficiency of the message communicative ability. With regard to the little time readers have to read the slogan, ellipsis seems convenient to be employed owing to its ability to achieve the element of compactness. On the other hand, readers usually comprehend the message, realizing the missing items in the slogan. They, themselves, make the cohesive link. As a result, these shortened structures make the producers dispense with long and complex sentences. Ellipsis activates the memory and the ability of receivers to analyze and understand the message; hence it acquires its power and role in communication as long as it does not cause misunderstanding or confusion.
5.2.1.4 Conjunctions
A clear cohesive pattern could be found through the use of conjunctions. As the results revealed, the conjunctive /ٗ/'and’ signaled the most common occurrences with 55.3% of the total use of conjunctives. It appears that it signals different semantic relations between clauses and sentences which help in creating and understanding texts. Noticeably, the conjunctive /ٗ/ is the only conjunctive that marks excessive use in spoken Arabic. The second in frequency is the particle /لو/ ‘if’ that has a conditional function. The conditional case makes the effect of the message even more powerful, and helps in communicating the theme much easier. Drivers unavoidably use the conjunctive /بس/ ‘but’ in their attempt to produce their slogans in a way that touches their receivers. This adversative conjunction expresses a contrastive relation and unexpected meaning. /بس/ provides importance and confirmation to what follows. The causal conjunctives /عشان, ومن/ and /ل-/ are found to be used to render confirmation to the ideas follow them. The fourth conjunctive relation expressed in the data has a temporal sense. The conjunctive /لم/ ‘when’ indicates a temporal sequence. The word /طول/ ‘as long as’ may indicate simultaneous events.

5.2.1.5 Lexical Cohesion
As Baker (1992) sets it, “[l]exical cohesion covers any instance in which the use of a lexical item recalls the sense of an earlier one”. There are two sub-types of lexical cohesion, they are reiteration and collocation. Reiteration implies a repetition of the lexical item in some way. Through the slogans, drivers tend to repeat some items. Consider, /عشت، /عشت ‘live’, /طول، طول ‘as long as’, /who’, /كل ‘the shielder, his slave’, /شة ‘shot me, saved me’. Drivers make use of synonyms as well. Pairs of
synonyms could be found in: ‘A knife injured me is better than a girl leaves me’, ‘My father is my dearly beloved and my mother is my eyes’, ‘It is not easy to learn, and it is difficult to be a master’. This infrequent use of synonyms throughout the slogans emphasizes the driver’s point of view and creates cohesive ties. Less frequently used is the category of superordinates. There is a noticeable predominance in the use of collocated items in the slogans. This predominance reveals a stronger sense of cohesion and coherence through the messages. Patterns of collocations that are observed include: ‘shot me, saved me’, ‘bird, lion’, ‘my father, my mother’, ‘do not look at me, consider’. These pairs of opposites are familiar within the Egyptian society and reflect the cultural environment. Another type of patterns of collocations Egyptians are familiar with can be identified to be “the tendency of certain words to co-occur regularly in a given language” (Baker, 1992, p.47). To sum up, reiteration and collocation as instances of lexical cohesion contribute to the coherence of the messages and thus create texture. They are used to confirm the producer’s point of view as well.

5.2.2 Coherence
The slogans have a specific meaning, content, concepts, relations, information and experience the drivers want to communicate. For this specific reason, all the slogans seem to be coherent. Drivers, definitely, do not write nonsense, so in the short time available for the receivers to read their messages, they tend their messages to be as coherent and perceptible as possible, unless they intend the reverse on purpose. Hence comes the coherence of the slogans. Most slogans seem to have a high degree of coherence as their conceptual relations are explicit to the receivers whether by the help of cohesive devices or without them. Unlike these examples where
cohesion supports the coherence of a text, there are other examples where coherence is manifested through the unifying meaningful whole constituted by the meanings and concepts involved in the message. In /أديْا صاتزيِ يا دّيا/ ‘Here we are, still standing’ the reader has the ability to recognize that the driver of this vehicle has encountered some difficulties and suffering during his lifetime and that he is still suffering some bad luck. He finds writing this slogan a way to express his state of surrender to destiny. This proves the fact that coherence is “a result of the interaction between knowledge presented in the text and the reader’s own knowledge and experience of the world” (Baker, 1992, p.219).

5.2.3 Intentionality
With regard to this “user-centered notion” (Beaugrande & Dressler, 1981), intentionality is considered an intrinsic property of utterances. Regardless of whether the receiver knows the driver’s intention or not, the driver himself writes the slogan on purpose. On the light of the data collected, intentionality can be said to range from being very clear to relatively vague.

5.2.4 Acceptability
Written texts should be accepted by the readers. Slogans as a kind of written texts are mostly clear and understood. Since they reveal some experiences of life, and hence can be said to be useful for the readers who can acquire knowledge and make use of these slogans; and since they can be admitted to be cohesive and coherent, then, slogans are worth to be accepted. Slogans meet readership expectations and constitute a communicative act.

5.2.5 Informativity
What the drivers carry to their receivers is definitely the information involved in their slogans; otherwise they would not
make the effort to write them. Drivers have something to tell to their audience. They hope to communicate something which they believe is unknown to them. The structures of the slogans do not cause troubles to the readers who are not in need to search deeply in the context or to reread the slogan in order to understand it.

5.2.6 Situationality
In order to make sense of any text, the situationality of this text must be considered. Situationality involves participants in the communicative event, its location, time and the cultural dimension as well. The situationality of the slogans as being a communicative event can be regarded to be messages produced by drivers to an intended or unintended addressees and which are written on the back of their vehicles to be read in the street. The audience perceives this situation very well, and in that very way they understand the messages of the drivers and the content.

5.2.7 Intertextuality
Based on the fact that intertextuality involves “the presence of actual elements of other texts within a text” (Fairclough, 2003, p.39), the Egyptian community is talented in intertextual texts. It has the ability to reproduce words, concepts or ideas in other contexts which results in communicating the intended message more deeply and effectively along with adding dimensions to the basic meaning. Examples of intertextuality can be observed throughout the data. These vary from referring to familiar songs like /أمل حياتي/ ‘The hope of my life’ and /القدر خسروا كثير/ ‘Appreciation caused us great loss’, familiar Arabic movie e.g. /كده رضا/ ‘I am satisfied’, and some famous clauses that have become formulaic expressions most Egyptians adopted in their daily life after they were first introduced through a famous Egyptian TV series, e.g. /أحبوبوني/ ‘I do not like that’ and /حببي يا ربنا/ ‘Oh, my dear Lord’. Drivers also
borrow some lexical items from other contexts and apply them to serve their own intentions. For example, they borrow the expression /للخلف در/ ‘turn back’ from the military life and use it to give a direct and decisive order to any bad fellow in the slogan /الصاحب اللي يضر للخلف در/ ‘The fellow who causes troubles get out of my life (turn back)’. From the field of sports they produce /الدنيا ملعب واللعب يلعب/ ‘Life is like a playground; the one who masters the game has to play’. Using some religious instructions they write /الدنيا بالنفس والأعمال ناقص/ ‘Life considers money and the Hereafter considers deeds’. Drivers also make use of the telecommunication dimension by sticking /أنا غير متاح/ ‘I am not available’ and the profession of trade by writing /لما وزنت صاحبي طلع الميزان ناقص/ ‘When I tried to weigh my friend, the scale pointed deficient’. This is the very way intertextuality goes in which “the production and reception of a given text depends upon the participants’ knowledge of other texts” (Beaugrande and Dressler, 1992, p.182).

6. Conclusion

In the light of the analyzed data, it has been concluded that:

1. The seven standards of textuality are thought to be of high importance for any stretch of language to be communicative including a single sentence or phrase. By adopting the seven constitutive standards of textuality, the slogans included in the study are found to be fully communicative. The cohesion and coherence of the slogans are of a high degree. The intentionality is found to be relatively clear. The acceptability is high. The informativity and situationality are relevant and the intertextuality is moderate.

2. The three regulative principles of textual communication, efficiency, effectiveness and appropriateness, are thought to be fulfilled since short messages in a form of slogans appearing on the back of vehicles do not require time to be read. Drivers succeed in communicating their intentions to
the readers along with leaving a strong impression on their memory.

3. The high frequency of the first person pronoun, the separate form /ًأ/ ‘I’ and the attached /ًأً، ًناً/ along with the use of hidden subjects which can be substituted by /ًأً/; and the use of second person pronoun /ًأً، ًك/ ‘you’ in Groups 1, 2 and 3, help in maintain a sense of vivid interaction between the drivers and the readership.

4. Conjunctions are used to signal different relations according to the context of situation which provides the readers with clues to understand the producer’s intention and organize the ideas in the process of their perception.

5. Cohesion is proved to increase the overall sense of coherence in the messages by the diversity of linguistic devices.

6. This study emphasizes what de Beaugrande (1997) later states; “the seven standards of textuality demonstrate how rich every text is connected to [our] knowledge of world and society”. Unquestionably, the readership’s socio-cultural background and their prior general knowledge of the world determine how much sense they get from the slogans.

7. The successful interpretation of the messages depends to a large extent on the successful decoding of deictic expressions used. With the help of deictic expressions, drivers could refer to different items in the environment around them including their addressees, their vehicles and others. They also make use of the different types of deixis.

8. Most of the drivers’ use of temporal deixis helps them to express what they have experienced in the past as an attempt either to show their suffering or in order for the readers to get benefit from their experiences.

9. Spatial and discourse deixis do not play a huge role in the stickers, and there is no fundamental use of social deixis.
A Deictic and Text Linguistic Study of Bumper Stickers in Egypt

10. The use of deictic expressions creates a feeling of immediate contact as if the driver is talking to the reader at the moment of reading, and it further provides the reader with a personal impression.

11. Bumper stickers in Sohag can be rated as highly deictic and referential since they constitute a pragmatic context structured around the driver who is the deictic center.
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Appendix
Sample Bumper Stickers

Group (1):
‘He (Allah) is the shielder and I am His salve.’
‘I don’t like that.’
‘I am frightened of two things: brakes and females.’
‘My destiny turned to be bad because of the bad fellows I have.’
‘The envious eye shot me and the Mighty Lord saved me.’
‘Here we are, still standing.’
‘We are still young but we are masters.’

‘My destiny turned to be bad because of the bad fellows I have.’
‘The envious eye shot me and the Mighty Lord saved me.’
‘Here we are, still standing.’
‘We are still young but we are masters.’

This profession is not ours, may Allah bestow us patience.’

When I lived like a bird, they injured me; when I lived like a lion, they respected me.’

I’m not available in order for girls to relax.’

‘I served them, but they never felt gratitude.’

‘We helped them so honestly, but this effort was found to be for undeserved people.’

As to money, may Allah keeps envy away; as to manhood, I’m braver than a lion.’

‘We asked Allah and He granted us; but people keep envying us.’

‘My father is my dearly beloved and my mother is my eyes’ light.

‘If livelihood becomes better with running, no one will distance me.’

‘Oh luck, you have no favor you have done for me.’

‘When we stopped courting girls, they become even prettier.’
- لما وزنت صاحبي طلغ الميزان ناقص.

‘When I tried to weigh my friend, the sacle pointed deficient.’

- بطلت أجامل وزي ما بتعامل حتعمال.

‘I stopped complimenting others, and I will treat people the same way they are treating me.’

- ‘We suffered because of gossips.’

‘Our students thought us became aged.’

- حبيبي يا ربنأ.

‘Oh, my dear Lord.’

- التقدير خسرنا كثيرا.

‘Appreciation caused us great loss.’

- دي مش مهنتنا بس أحسن من قعدتنا.

‘This is not our profession, but it is better than being unemployed.’

- أصحاب مين، ده عشري باغ إبراهيم.

‘Whom I should befriend? Ashry betrayed Ibrahim.’

- سكينة تدبحني ولابنت تجرحني.

‘A knife injured me is better than a girl leaves me.’

- لو بايدي اختار نصبي مكنش سبت حبيبي.

‘I would never have left my beloved, if I had had a chance.’

- عشت جدع شبعت وقع.

‘When I acted in a noble way, I suffered enough.’
Group (2):

‘To live peacefully, be in peace with yourself.’
- صالح نفسك ترتاح.
‘Be jealous, but do not envy.’
- غير ولا تحسد.
‘It is not easy to find those who deserve your love.’
- طول ما الحدّق في دمك حفظ طويل عمري عمك.
‘As long as you are malicious, I will ever be your master.’
- مش بالساهل تلبس ومن الصعب تبقى معلم.
‘It is not easy to learn, and it is difficult to be a master.’
- متقولش دي بكم، دي جاية بده بدمام.
‘Do not ask how much is this, it was bought by selling my wife’s golden properties.’
- عياز تبقى ريس، عامل الناس كوس.
‘If you want to be a master, treat people properly.’
- لو صاحبك خانك، اعتبره دخانك.
‘If your friend betrayed you, do not bestow him the least attention.’
- انتهى الكلام يا نجم.
‘Game is over.’
- لو زعان البهر مليان.
‘Do not look at me with an envious eye but consider the money spent on me.’
- عاتب الأصيل ولومه وسبي الواطي ليومه.
‘Admonish the noble person and blame him, and leave the base to be punished by his deeds.’
- انتهى الكلام يا نجم.
‘The woman who did not give a birth to a boy, ought not be happy.’
- بنات ابنه يا عم، أكل العيش أهم.
‘Oh man! Do not have an interest in girls; earning living is much more important.’
- الحاجة الوحيدة اللي من غير واسطة، صحبة الناس يا سطى.
The only thing that does not involve nepotism is friendship.
- حب مين يا جاهل مفيش بنت تستاهل.
‘Oh fellow, there is no girl deserves to be loved.’
- الحلاة تركب بلاش، والوحشة فلوسها كاش.
‘I will give the beautiful girl a lift for free, but the ugly one has to pay in cash.’
- مش عيازك تستغرب، اللي جاي أغرب.
‘Do not feel surprised, the coming is even more surprising.’
- نهارك زي ضميرك.
‘May your day be like your conscience.’
- اللذي يحبنا نحبوه واللذي يكرهنا ننسوه.
‘We love those who love us, and we forget those who hate us.’

‘If you are a trader in the art of speech, I am a miscreant in the act of doing.’

‘Do not look at its wheels for fear that it would break down.’

‘The hope of my life.’

‘The girl I love knows herself.’

‘I wish I would be yours.’

‘They envy me to having you, unknowing that you are the source of my suffering.’

‘Leave me alone.’

‘Leave us to earn our living.’

‘It is good for us, it is bad for us; so it is none of your business.’

Group (3):

‘I will keep you behind me, you are not my peer.’

‘It is impossible to let you pass me.’

‘Keep driving to earn much.’

‘Look and learn from the son of the master.’

‘If you respect me, I will kiss you; if you act improperly, I will hit you.’

‘If you exceed your limits, I will kill you.’

‘The sweetie ordered me not to let another one drive her.’

Group (4):

‘This is satisfactory.’

A good profession but the careless young spoil it.

‘The eyes of people are perfidious, but Allah is the shielder.’

‘It is not a tank, it is a source of living for the pitiable.’
There are kinds of friends, but the true one is evident.

Not all people are genuine.

The fellow who causes troubles, get out of my life.

Life considers money and the Hereafter considers deeds.

To be bitten by a lion is better than to be shot by an envious eye.

Being a masculine does not necessarily mean to be a man.

Do not concern with people’s opinions.

In life, girls are sweeties; in Hereafter, they are barbecue.

When one speaks frankly, they feel upset.

All deeds will be judged at the end.

The smile of a man at the time of pain reflects his dignity.

Life is like a playground; and the one who masters the game, has to play.

It is easy to distinguish the genuine.

It is easy to see whether a person is a man, and whether he is not.

There is a cure for any disease except indifference.

To be bitten by a snake is better than to be deceived by a man.

There is no need for a bad fellow.

The person who consults the elder will feel satisfied.

The one who worked so hard is not like the one who has been always spoiled.